	Case 1:25-cv-00529-JLT-SAB Docum	ent 9 Filed 08/13/25	Page 1 of 2	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	JONATHAN CHRISTOPHER COFFER,	Case No. 1:25-cv-0	9529 JLT SAB	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING	NG IN FULL THE RECOMMENDATIONS,	
13	v.	DISMISSING THE	E ACTION WITHOUT D DIRECTING THE CLERK	
14	JENNIFER MANNIX,		OF COURT TO CLOSE THE CASE	
15	Defendant.	(Doc. 7)		
16				
17	Jonathan Christopher Coffer initiated this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983			
18	against his attorney on May 6, 2025. (See generally Doc. 1.) Plaintiff did not pay the Court's			
19	filing fee or apply to proceed in forma pauperis in this matter, despite being ordered to do so. (See			
20	Docs. 4, 5.) The assigned magistrate judge found Plaintiff failed to prosecute the action and failed			
21	to comply with the Court's orders. (Doc. 7 at 1-2.) The magistrate judge found terminating			
22	sanctions are appropriate after considering the factors identified by the Ninth Circuit. ( <i>Id.</i> at 2-3.)			
23	Therefore, the magistrate judge recommended the Court dismiss the action without prejudice. ( <i>Id.</i>			
24	at 3.)			
25	The Court served these Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified him at			
26	that any objections were due within 14 days. (Doc. 7 at 4-5.) The Court advised him that the			
27	"failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal."			
28	(Id., citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).) Plaintiff did not file			

	Case 1:25-cv-00529-JLT-SAB Document 9 Filed 08/13/25 Page 2 of 2		
1	objections, and the time to do so expired.		
2	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a <i>de novo</i> review of this case		
3	Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations		
4	are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court <b>ORDERS</b> :		
5	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on July 25, 2025 (Doc. 7) are		
6	ADOPTED in full.		
7	2. This action is <b>DISMISSED</b> without prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to pay the		
8	filing fee, failure to obey the Court's orders, and failure to prosecute.		
9	3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.		
10			
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
12	Dated: August 13, 2025  United: STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			